arXiv:1505.01578v1 [math.DG] 7 May 2015

A NOTE ON STARSHAPED COMPACT HYPERSURFACES WITH PRESCRIBED SCALAR CURVATURE IN SPACE FORMS

JOEL SPRUCK AND LING XIAO

Abstract. In [7], Guan, Ren and Wang obtained a C^2 a priori estimate for admissible 2-convex hypersurfaces satisfying the Weingarten curvature equation $\sigma_2(\kappa(X)) = f(X, \nu(X))$. In this note, we give a simpler proof of this result, and extend it to space forms.

1. Introduction

In [7], Guan, Ren and Wang solved the long standing problem of obtaining global C^2 estimates for a closed convex hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of prescribed kth elementary symmetric function of curvature in general form:

$$\sigma_k(\kappa(X)) = f(X, \nu(X)),\ \forall X \in M. \tag{1.1}$$

In the case $k = 2$ of scalar curvature, they were able to prove the estimate for strictly starshaped 2-convex hypersurfaces. Their proof relies on new test curvature functions and elaborate analytic arguments to overcome the difficulties caused by allowing f to depend of ν.

In this note, we give a simpler proof for the scalar curvature case and we extend the result to space forms $N^{n+1}(K)$, with $K = -1, 0, 1$. Our main result is stated in Theorem 2.1 of section 2 and leads to the existence Theorem 3.3. For related results in the literature see [3], [6], [2] and [8].

2. Prescribed scalar curvature

Let $N^{n+1}(K)$ be a space form of sectional curvature $K = -1$, 0, and $+1$. Let $g^N := ds^2$ denote the Riemannian metric of $N^{n+1}(K)$. In Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, fix the origin O and let $\mathbb{S}^n$ denote the unit sphere centered at O. Suppose that (z, ρ) are spherical coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, where $z \in \mathbb{S}^n$. The standard metric on S^n induced

---

Research supported in part by the NSF.

from $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is denoted by dz^2. Let a be constant, $0 < a \le \infty$, $I = [0, a)$, and $\phi(\rho)$ a positive function on I. Then the new metric

$$g^N := ds^2 = d\rho^2 + \phi^2(\rho)dz^2. \tag{2.1}$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a model of N^{n+1} which is Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ if $\phi(\rho) = \rho$, $a = \infty$, the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ if $\phi(\rho) = \sin(\rho)$, $a = \pi/2$ and hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{n+1}$ if $\phi(\rho) = \sinh(\rho)$, $a = \infty$.

We recall some formulas for the induced metric, normal, and second fundamental form on $\mathcal{M}$ (see [2]). We will denote by ∇' the covariant derivatives with respect to the standard spherical metric e_{ij}, and by ∇ the covariant derivatives with respect to some local orthonormal frame on $\mathcal{M}$. Then we have

$$g_{ij} = \phi^2 e_{ij} + \rho_i\rho_j,\ g^{ij} = \frac{1}{\phi^2}\left(e^{ij} - \frac{\rho^i\rho^j}{\phi^2 + |\nabla'\rho|^2}\right), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\nu = \frac{(-\nabla'\rho, \phi^2)}{\sqrt{\phi^4 + \phi^2|\nabla'\rho|^2}}, \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$h_{ij} = \frac{\phi}{\sqrt{\phi^2 + |\nabla'\rho|^2}}\left(-\nabla'_{ij}\rho + \frac{2\phi'}{\phi}\rho_i\rho_j + \phi\phi' e_{ij}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Consider the vector field $V = \phi(\rho)\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}$ in $N^{n+1}(K)$, and define $\Phi(\rho) = \int_0^\rho \phi(r)dr$. Then, $u := \langle V, \nu\rangle$ is the support function. By a straight forward calculation we have the following equations (see [5] lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.6).

$$\nabla_{ij}\Phi = \phi' g_{ij} - uh_{ij}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\nabla_i u = g^{kl}h_{ik}\nabla_l\Phi, \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\nabla_{ij}u = g^{kl}\nabla_k h_{ij}\nabla_l\Phi + \phi' h_{ij} - ug^{kl}h_{ik}h_{jl}. \tag{2.7}$$

Now let Γ_k be the connected component of $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sigma_k(\lambda) > 0\}$, where

$$\sigma_k = \sum_{i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1}\cdots\lambda_{i_k}$$

is the k-th mean curvature. $\mathcal{M} := \{(z, \rho(z)) : z \in \mathbb{S}^n\}$ is an embedded hypersurface in N^{n+1}. We call ρ *k-admissible* if the principal curvatures $(\lambda_1(\rho(z)), \ldots, \lambda_n(\rho(z)))$ of

$\mathcal{M}$ belong to Γ_k. Our problem is to study a smooth positive 2-admissible function ρ on $\mathbb{S}^n$ satisfying

$$\sigma_2(\lambda(b)) = \psi(V, \nu), \tag{2.8}$$

where $b = \{b_{ij}\} = \{\gamma^{ik} h_{kl} \gamma^{lj}\}$, $\{h_{ij}\}$ is the second fundamental form of $\mathcal{M}$, and γ^{ij} is $\sqrt{g^{-1}}$. Equivalently, we study the solution of the following equation

$$F(b) = \left(\begin{array}{c} n \\ 2 \end{array} \right)^{(-1/2)} \sigma_2(\lambda(b))^{1/2} = f(\lambda(b_{ij})) = \overline{\psi}(V,\, \nu). \tag{2.9}$$

Now we are ready to state and prove our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{M} = \{(z,\, \rho(z)) \mid z \in \mathbb{S}^n\} \subset N^{n+1}$ is a closed 2-convex hypersurface which is strictly starshaped with respect to the origin and satisfies equation (2.9) for some positive function $\overline{\psi}(V,\, \nu) \in C^2(\Gamma)$, where Γ is an open neighborhood of the unit normal bundle of $\mathcal{M}$ in $N^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n$. Suppose also we have uniform control $0 < R_1 \leq \rho(z) \leq R_2 < a$, $|\rho|_{C^1} \leq R_3$. Then there is a constant C depending only on n, R_1, R_2, R_3 and $|\bar{\psi}|_{C^2}$, such that*

$$\max_{z \in \mathbb{S}^n} |\kappa_i(z)| \leq C. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. Since $\sigma_1(\kappa) > 0$ on $\mathcal{M}$, it suffices to estimate from above, the largest principal curvature of $\mathcal{M}$. Consider

$$M_0 = \max_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{M}} e^{\beta \Phi} \frac{\kappa_{\max}}{u - a}\ ,$$

where $u \geq 2a$ and β is a large constant to be chosen (we will always assume $\beta\phi' + K > 0$). Then M_0 is achieved at $\mathbf{x}_0 = (z_0,\, \rho(z_0))$ and we may choose a local orthonormal frame $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ around $\mathbf{x}_0$ such that $h_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \kappa_i \delta_{ij}$, where $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_n$ are the principal curvatures of Σ at $\mathbf{x}_0$. We may assume $\kappa_1 = \kappa_{\max}(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Thus at $\mathbf{x}_0$, $\log h_{11} - \log{(u-a)} + \beta\Phi$ has a local maximum. Therefore,

$$0 = \frac{\nabla_i h_{11}}{h_{11}} - \frac{\nabla_i u}{u - a} + \beta \Phi_i, \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$0 \geq \frac{\nabla_{ii} h_{11}}{h_{11}} - \left(\frac{\nabla_i h_{11}}{h_{11}} \right)^2 - \frac{\nabla_{ii} u}{u - a} + \left(\frac{\nabla_i u}{u - a} \right)^2 + \beta \Phi_{ii}. \tag{2.12}$$

By the Gauss and Codazzi equations, we have $\nabla_k h_{ij} = \nabla_j h_{ik}$ and

$$\nabla_{11} h_{ii} = \nabla_{ii} h_{11} + h_{11} h_{ii}^2 - h_{11}^2 h_{ii} + K(h_{11}\delta_{1i}\delta_{1i} - h_{11}\delta_{ii} + h_{ii} - h_{i1}\delta_{i1}). \tag{2.13}$$

Therefore,

$$F^{ii}\nabla_{11}h_{ii} = F^{ii}\nabla_{ii}h_{11} + \kappa_1\sum_i f_i\kappa_i^2 - \kappa_1^2\sum f_i\kappa_i + K\left(-\kappa_1\sum_i f_i + \sum_i f_i\kappa_i\right)$$
$$= \sum_i f_i\nabla_{ii}h_{11} + \kappa_1\sum_i f_i\kappa_i^2 - \overline{\psi}\kappa_1^2 + K\left(-\kappa_1\sum_i f_i + \overline{\psi}\right) \tag{2.14}$$

Covariantly differentiating equation (2.9) twice yields

$$F^{ii}h_{iik} = \bar{\psi}_V(\nabla_{e_k}V) + h_{ks}\bar{\psi}_\nu(e_s) \tag{2.15}$$

so that

$$|\sum_i f_i h_{iis}\Phi_s| \le C(1+\kappa_1) \tag{2.16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F^{ii}h_{ii11} + F^{ij,kl}h_{ij1}h_{kl1} = \nabla_{11}(\overline{\psi}) &\ge -C(1+\kappa_1^2) + h_{11s}\bar{\psi}_\nu(e_s) \\ &\ge -C(1+\kappa_1^2+\beta\kappa_1) \ \text{ (using (2.11)).} \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Combining (2.17) and (2.14) and using (2.5), (2.6),(2.7),(2.11),(2.12), (2.15),(2.16) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\ge \frac{1}{\kappa_1}\left\{-C(1+\kappa_1^2+\beta\kappa_1) - F^{ij,kl}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{kl} - \kappa_1\sum f_i\kappa_i^2 + \kappa_1^2\overline{\psi} - K(-\kappa_1\sum f_i + \overline{\psi})\right\} \\ &- \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2}\sum f_i|\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 - \frac{1}{u-a}\sum f_i\{h_{iis}\Phi_s - u\kappa_i^2 + \phi'\kappa_i\} + \sum f_i\frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2} - u\beta\overline{\psi} + \beta\phi'\sum f_i \\ &\ge -C(\kappa_1+\beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1}F^{ij,kl}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{kl} + \frac{a}{u-a}\sum f_i\kappa_i^2 + (\beta\phi'+K)\sum f_i \\ &- \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2}\sum f_i|\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 + \sum f_i\frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2} \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\ge -C(\kappa_1+\beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1}F^{ij,kl}\nabla_1 h_{ij}\nabla_1 h_{kl} + \frac{a}{u-a}\sum f_i\kappa_i^2 \\ &+ (\beta\phi'+K)\sum f_i - \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2}\sum f_i|\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 + \sum f_i\frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

By (2.11) we have for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_1^2}\sum f_i|\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 \le (1+\epsilon^{-1})\beta^2\sum f_i|\nabla_i\Phi|^2 + \frac{(1+\epsilon)}{(u-a)^2}\sum f_i|\nabla_i u|^2. \tag{2.19}$$

Using this in (2.18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq -C(\kappa_1+\beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 h_{ij} \nabla_1 h_{kl} + (\frac{a}{u-a} - C\epsilon) \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 \\ + [\beta\phi' + K - C\beta^2(1+\epsilon^{-1})]T \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

where $T = \sum f_i$. Now we divide the remainder of the proof into two cases.

Case A. Assume $\kappa_n \leq -\frac{\kappa_1}{n}$. In this case, equation (2.20) implies (here ϵ is small controlled multiple of a and we use $f_n \geq f_i$ which holds by concavity of f)

$$0 \geq -C(\kappa_1+\beta) + \frac{a}{C} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 - C\beta^2 T \geq -C(\kappa_1+\beta) + (\frac{1}{C}\kappa_1^2 - C\beta^2)T \tag{2.21}$$

Since $T \geq 1$ by the concavity of f, equation (2.21) implies $\kappa_1 \leq C\beta$ at $\mathbf{x}_0$.

Case B. Assume $\kappa_n > -\frac{\kappa_1}{n}$. Let us partition $\{1, \cdots, n\}$ into 2 parts,

$$I = \{j : f_j \leq n^2 f_1\} \text{ and } J = \{j : f_j > n^2 f_1\}.$$

For $i \in I$, we have (by (2.11)) for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 \leq (1+\epsilon) \sum f_i \frac{|\nabla_i u|^2}{(u-a)^2} + C(1+\epsilon^{-1})\beta^2 f_1. \tag{2.22}$$

Inserting this into equation (2.18) gives (for ϵ a small controlled multiple of a^2)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq -C(\kappa_1+\beta) - \frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 h_{ij} \nabla_1 h_{kl} + \frac{a}{C} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + (\beta\phi' + K) \sum f_i \\ - \frac{1}{\kappa_1^2} \sum_{i \in J} f_i |\nabla_i h_{11}|^2 - C\beta^2 f_1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Now we use an inequality due to Andrews [1] and Gerhardt [4]:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\kappa_1} F^{ij,kl} \nabla_1 h_{ij} \nabla_1 h_{kl} &\geq \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{f_i - f_j}{\kappa_j - \kappa_i} |\nabla_1 h_{ij}|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\kappa_1} \sum_{j \geq 2} \frac{f_j - f_1}{\kappa_1 - \kappa_j} |\nabla_j h_{11}|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2}{\kappa_1^2} \sum_{j \in J} f_j |\nabla_j h_{11}|^2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

We now insert (2.24) into (2.23) to obtain

$$0 \geq -C(\kappa_1+\beta) + \frac{a}{C} \sum f_i \kappa_i^2 + (\beta\phi' + K) \sum f_i - C\beta^2 f_1. \tag{2.25}$$

Since $\kappa_n > -\frac{1}{n}\kappa_1$ we have that

$$\sum f_i = \frac{(n-1)\sigma_1}{2\binom{n}{2}\overline{\psi}} > \frac{\kappa_1 - \frac{n-1}{n}\kappa_1}{n\overline{\psi}} = \frac{\kappa_1}{n^2\overline{\psi}}$$

We also note that on $\mathcal{M}$, ϕ' is bounded below by a positive controlled constant so we may assume $\beta\phi' + K$ is large. Therefore from (2.25) we obtain

$$0 \geq (\frac{\beta\phi' + K}{n^2\overline{\psi}} - C)\kappa_1 - C\beta + (\frac{a}{C_2}\kappa_1^2 - C\beta^2)f_1. \tag{2.26}$$

We now fix β large enough that $\frac{\beta\phi'+K}{n^2\overline{\psi}} > 2C$ which implies a uniform upper bound for κ_1 at $\mathbf{x}_0$. By the definition of M_0 we then obtain a uniform upper bound for κ_{max} on $\mathcal{M}$ which implies a uniform upper and lower bound for the principle curvatures.

□

3. Lower order estimates

In this section, we obtain C^0 and C^1 estimates for the more general equation:

$$\sigma_k(\kappa) = \psi(V, \nu), \tag{3.1}$$

where $k = 1, \cdots, n$.

3.1. C^0 estimates.

The C^0-estimates were proved in [2] but for the reader's convenience we include the simple proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $1 \leq k \leq n$ *and let* $\psi \in C^2(N^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$ *be a positive function. Suppose there exist two numbers* R_1 *and* R_2, $0 < R_1 < R_2 < a$, *such that*

$$\psi\left(V, \frac{V}{|V|}\right) \geq \sigma_k(1, \cdots, 1)q^k(\rho), \rho = R_1, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\psi\left(V, \frac{V}{|V|}\right) \leq \sigma_k(1, \cdots, 1)q^k(\rho), \rho = R_2, \tag{3.3}$$

where $q(\rho) = \frac{1}{\phi}\frac{d\phi}{d\rho}$. *Let* $\rho \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^n)$ *be a solution of equation* (3.1). *Then*

$$R_1 \leq \rho \leq R_2.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\max_{z\in\mathbb{S}^n}\rho(z)=\rho(z_0)>R_2$. Then at z_0,

$$g^{ij}=\phi^{-2}e^{ij},\ h_{ij}=-\nabla'_{ij}\rho+\phi\phi' e_{ij}\geq\phi\phi' e_{ij}, b_{ij}\geq q(\rho)\delta_{ij}\ .$$

Hence $\psi(V,\nu)(z_0)=\sigma_k(b_{ij})(z_0)>q^k(R_2)\sigma_k(1,\dots,1)$, contradicting (3.3). The proof of (3.2) is similar. ☐

3.2. C^1 **estimates.** In this section, we follow the idea of [3] and [6] to derive C^1 estimates for the height function ρ. In other words, we are looking for a lower bound for the support function u. First, we need the following technical assumption: for any fixed unit vector ν,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}(\phi(\rho)^k\psi(V,\nu))\leq 0,\ \text{where } |V|=\phi(\rho). \tag{3.4}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a radial graph in N^{n+1} satisfying* (3.1),(3.4) *and let ρ be the height function of M. If ρ has positive upper and lower bounds, then there is a constant C depending on the minimum and maximum values of ρ, such that*

$$|\nabla\rho|\leq C.$$

Proof. Consider $h=-\log u+\gamma(\Phi(\rho))$ and suppose h achieves it's maximum at z_0. We will show that for a suitable choice of $\gamma(t)$, $u(z_0)=|V(z_0)|$, that is $V(z_0)=|V(z_0)|\nu(z_0)$, which implies a uniform lower bound for u on M. If not, we can choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1,\cdots,e_n\}$ on M such that $\langle V,e_1\rangle\neq 0$, and $\langle V,e_i\rangle=0$, $i\geq 2$. Then at z_0 we have,

$$h_i=\frac{-u_i}{u}+\gamma'\nabla_i\Phi=0, \tag{3.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned}0\geq h_{ii}&=\frac{-u_{ii}}{u}+\left(\frac{u_i}{u}\right)^2+\gamma'\nabla_{ii}\Phi+\gamma''(\nabla_i\Phi)^2\\&=\frac{-1}{u}\left(h_{ii1}\nabla_1\Phi+\phi' h_{ii}-uh_{ii}^2\right)+[(\gamma')^2+\gamma''](\nabla_i\Phi)^2+\gamma'(\phi' g_{ii}-h_{ii}u).\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Equation (3.5) gives

$$h_{11}=u\gamma',\ h_{i1}=0,\ i\geq 2 \tag{3.7}$$

so we may rotate $\{e_2,\cdots,e_n\}$ so that $h_{ij}(z_0,\rho(z_0)$ is diagonal. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}0\geq&\frac{-1}{u}\left(\sigma_k^{ii}h_{ii1}\nabla_1\Phi+\phi' k\psi-u\sigma_k^{ii}h_{ii}^2\right)\\&+[(\gamma')^2+\gamma''](\nabla_1\Phi)^2\sigma^{11}+\gamma'(\phi'\sum\sigma_k^{ii}-k\psi u)\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Differentiating equation (3.1) with respect to e_1 we obtain

$$\sigma_k^{ii} h_{ii1} = d_V\psi(\nabla_{e_1}V) + h_{11} d_\nu\psi(e_1). \tag{3.9}$$

Substituting equation (3.9) and (3.7) into (3.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq\ & \frac{-1}{u}[\langle V, e_1\rangle d_V\psi(\nabla_{e_1}V) + u\gamma' \langle V, e_1\rangle d_\nu\psi(e_1) + k\phi'\psi] \\ & + \sigma_k^{ii}h_{ii}^2 + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1\rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \gamma'\phi'\sigma_k^{ii} - ku\gamma'\psi \\ =\ & \frac{-1}{u}[\langle V, e_1\rangle d_V\psi(\nabla_{e_1}V) + k\phi'\psi] + \sigma_k^{ii}h_{ii}^2 \\ & + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1\rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \gamma'\phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} - u\gamma'\psi - \gamma' \langle V, e_1\rangle d_\nu\psi(e_1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Our assumption (3.4) is equivalent to

$$k\phi^{k-1}\phi'\psi + \phi^k \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\psi(V, \nu) \leq 0, \tag{3.11}$$

or

$$k\phi'\psi + d_V\psi(V, \nu) \leq 0. \tag{3.12}$$

Since at z_0, $V = \langle V, e_1\rangle e_1 + \langle V, \nu\rangle \nu$

$$d_V\psi(V, \nu) = \langle V, e_1\rangle d_V\psi(\nabla_{e_1}V) + \langle V, \nu\rangle d_V\psi(\nabla_\nu V). \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq\ & \sigma_k^{ii}h_{ii}^2 + [(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1\rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \gamma'\phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} \\ & - u\gamma'\psi - \gamma' \langle V, e_1\rangle d_\nu\psi(e_1) + d_V\psi(\nabla_\nu V) \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Now let $\gamma(t) = \frac{\alpha}{t}$, where $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently large. Since $h_{11} \leq 0$ at z_0, and $\sum \sigma_k^{ii} = (n-k+1)\sigma_{k-1}$, we have that

$$\sigma_k^{11} = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|\kappa_1) \geq \sigma_{k-1} \geq \sigma_k^{\frac{k-1}{k}} = \psi^{\frac{k-1}{k}}. \tag{3.15}$$

Therefore

$$[(\gamma')^2 + \gamma''] \langle V, e_1\rangle^2 \sigma_k^{11} + \sigma_k^{ii}h_{ii}^2 + \gamma'\phi' \sum \sigma_k^{ii} \geq C\alpha^2\sigma_k^{11}, \tag{3.16}$$

for some C depending on $|\rho|_{C^0}$.

We conclude that

$$0 \geq C\alpha^2\psi^{\frac{k-1}{k}} - \alpha|V||d_\nu\psi(e_1)| - |d_V\psi(\nabla_\nu V)|, \tag{3.17}$$

which leads to a contradiction when α is large. Therefore at z_0 we have $u = |V|$, which completes the proof. □

By a standard continuity argument (see [3]), we can prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose* $\psi \in C^2(\bar{B}_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$ *satisfies conditions* (3.2)*,* (3.3)*, and* (3.4)*. Then there exists a unique* $C^{3,\alpha}$ *starshaped solution* $\mathcal{M}$ *satisfying equation* (2.8)*.*

References

[1] B. Andrews, *Contraction of convex hypersurfaces in Euclidean space*, Calc. Var. PDE **2** (1994), 151–171.

[2] J. L. M. Barbosa, J. H. S. Lira and V. I. Oliker, *A priori estimates for starshaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed mth curvature function in space forms*, Nonlinear Problems of Mathematical Physics and Related Topics I,(2002), 35–52.

[3] L. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *Nonlinear second order elliptic equations IV: Starshaped compact Weigarten hypersurfaces*, Current topics in partial differential equations, Y.Ohya, K.Kasahara and N.Shimakura (eds), Kinokunize, Tokyo, (1985), 1–26.

[4] C. Gerhardt, *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **43** (1996), 612–641.

[5] P. Guan and J. Li, *A mean curvature flow in space form*, International Mathematics Research Notices

[6] P. Guan, C.S. Lin and X. Ma, *The Existence of Convex Body with Prescribed Curvature Measures*, International Mathematics Research Notices, **2009**, (2009), 1947–1975.

[7] P. Guan, C. Ren and Z. Wang, *Global* C^2 *estimates for convex solutions of curvature equations*, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics, (2014) preprint.

[8] Q. Jin and Y. LI, *Starshaped compact hyper surfaces with prescribed kth mean curveature in hyperbolic space*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems **15** (2005), 367–377.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD, 21218
E-mail address: `js@math.jhu.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ, 08854
E-mail address: `lx70@math.rutgers.edu`